5/14/69

Dear Gary,

Your letter of 5/11 with enclosures arrived today in the same mail with one fromHobbs. I phoned him, we had a long talk, and he is coming here Saturday. I'm writing immediately to be able to mail this when I pick Lil up because of your repeated request for CD1330? For I think you do not mean 1330. I seem to have no copy of that. However, on the chance that without the doc you have the wrong number, I enclose herewith 1373, which is on Kerr-P. I also enclose the letter from Hobbs.

In the limited time, these comments on the rest: Fred's appraisal of SJ is correct, and most of his reporting it. Where it is not, I presume the error is not his. For example, the incident at Jaffe's apartment may have happened, but not with me present. That is the opposite of the truth. I tried, unsuccessfully, to get Jaffe to get me a Rose picture for a long time. No one believed me on this Wilson bit until much later. Burton and I were at the apt one night, briefly, but not with Rose. I got the Howard stuff next to the last night I was in LA on that trip and the last night I made an all-night broadcast that Jaffe and his girlfriend lay in bed and listened to (with the other things they could have done-she was a tipless wiatress!) and he told me how enthralled she was the next a.m. when I phoned him from the airport. He may be right on the old lady and Colls, but he never so indicated to me. He gave no suggestion she was nuts. The detail on Rose-Turner-Sheinbaum (right) is probably right. All that is new to me is that Stanley S. would not endorese Rose. Thatbis not reflected in anything I have seen or heard and is the opposite of the presentation Jaffe then made. And it is not the manner of my letters that turned Jaffe off me, as Fred's letters to me show and as Jaffe's do. It is guilt and the certain knowledge I'd know the meaning of what I got from him whereas the others didn't. He made no mention to them (I have Maggie's transcript) and they asked him no questions about Villere (not pronounced as he says but Vill-ah-REE). And she is loaded, from her late husband, and his family business is coal, she has but a dummy shop (you have my memo). If Jim turned her over to L, is it not strange that L and Jaffe discussed with me the futility ofxtheir quest for girls the previous night in N.O.? They said they had gone to the Red Barn, or a place of similar name. So, he is still not levelling and I am happy that Fred at the least understands he may not be. This is why I want detail, but it now is not urgent. That day is gone, I think, at least for a while, and it is not worth urgent time. However, since Fred is doing nothing urgent anyway, it would be good for him to keep after it. The problem is that possibly no one else may understand the significance of any minor detail SJ may drop.

I have not seen Village Square and would like to, whatever relates to me in particular. Shick is behind this and if there is libel there is the means of collection, besides putting a crimp into those fascists.

Who is the asst atty general now answering? Can I see his letter to M? I have been in "touch" with one, sent you copies.

Check on pscho stuff.

Greetings: I had a note not saying what you do of it from Jerry Policoff. I had not previously heard of it. I know nothing of di Palma. I will not now have time to go further with but am interested in anything you learn.

Check on Reed. Good letter. Had letter and phoned Hobbs. He is coming here Sta. I'll meet at airport. Bernabei will also be here. I've got Archives set up for photographing there Friday. Hurriedly.